LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

No. 74-1562

Supreme Court, U. S.
FILED
NOV 5 1975
MICHAEL RODAK, JR., CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1975

ROSS B. MERETSKY,
Petitioner,

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR REHEARING

PHILIP A. GILLIS
Attorney for Petitioner
5th Floor, American Title Building
Detroit, Michigan 48226
(313) 962-8210

Interstate Brief & Record Co., 1036 Beaubien St., Detroit, Michigan 48226
962-8745—962-8732

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1975

ROSS B. MERETSKY,
Petitioner,

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR REHEARING

In *United States* v *Ragano,* 520 F2d 1191, 1203, fn 16, (1975), the Fifth Circuit states:

> Post-*Holland,* the standard for review adopted by this Circuit, to be applied irrespective of whether the evidence is direct or circumstantial, is whether "reasonable minds could conclude that the evidence is inconsistent with the hypothesis of the accused's innocence."

BLEED THROUGH

This belies respondent's claim that the Fifth Circuit rule is consistent with that of the Sixth. If *Winship* is to have any meaning at all, the conflict must be resolved. No issue in the entire field of criminal jurisprudence could be more important.

Respectfully submitted,

PHILIP A. GILLIS
Attorney for Petitioner
5th Floor, American Title Building
Detroit, Michigan 48226
(313) 962-8210

Dated: November 3, 1975.